

Brownlow, Kellie

From: Klepal, Dan (CMG-Atlanta) <Dan.Klepal@ajc.com>
Sent: Friday, January 22, 2016 12:07 PM
To: Brownlow, Kellie
Cc: Lee, Tim
Subject: RE: Request

Also, regarding your answer that green space bonds would require a millage rate increase, that would not be true if the .33 mills were dedicated to green space, as opposed to SunTrust Park. If the stadium is such a "transformational" project, then why not explain that to voters and tell them that the property tax increase is worth it?

Thanks again,

DK

From: Brownlow, Kellie [mailto:Kellie.Brownlow@cobbcounty.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2016 4:15 PM
To: Klepal, Dan (CMG-Atlanta)
Subject: RE: Request

Dan,

Once again you are writing a story based on your pre-determined and preferred narrative. Even though Chairman Lee's answers and the facts don't fit into your narrative, his response is below.

Chairman Lee says the parks bond issue is not tied to SunTrust Park. And yet, he says that .1 mill can be used for the park bonds program. Isn't that because .22 mills is dedicated to SunTrust Park, and doesn't that mean that the two issued are connected?

Dan, at the moment, we were negotiating a partnership with the Atlanta Braves on the ballpark, we were focused on what was perhaps the most transformational economic development project in Cobb County's history. Never once did I see such a moment as a choice between acquiring greenspace for Cobb County or a 1.2 billion investment in Cobb County.

Dedicating .1 mill will generate \$19 million, when the full millage would raise \$29 million. Voters approved a \$40 million bond issuance. Why do they not deserve dedicating the full .33 mills to the greenspace program?

As your paper reported, as far back as 2010, (<http://www.ajc.com/news/news/local/millions-for-parkland-in-cobb-county-highly-unlike/nQcPB/>) this bond program was always based on a promise to residents that there will be no millage increase with the bond. I did not support a millage increase with the bond then and I do not support a millage increase now.

Kellie

Kellie Brownlow
Deputy Chief to the Chairman
770-528-3333 (O)
404-374-3130 (M)

From: Kell, Sheri
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2016 12:15 PM
To: Brownlow, Kellie
Subject: Fwd: Request

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Klepal, Dan (CMG-Atlanta)" <Dan.Klepal@ajc.com>
Date: January 21, 2016 at 12:08:17 PM EST
To: "Kell, Sheri" <Sheri.Kell@cobbcounty.org>
Cc: "Lee, Tim (TLee@cobbcounty.org)" <TLee@cobbcounty.org>
Subject: RE: Request

My story will publish tomorrow. Please answer these questions:

Chairman Lee says the parks bond issue is not tied to SunTrust Park. And yet, he says that .1 mill can be used for the park bonds program.

- Isn't that because .22 mills is dedicated to SunTrust Park, and doesn't that mean that the two issued are connected?
- Dedicating .1 mill will generate \$19 million, when the full millage would raise \$29 million. Voters approved a \$40 million bond issuance. Why do they not deserve dedicating the full .33 mills to the greenspace program?

Thank you.

From: Kell, Sheri [<mailto:Sheri.Kell@cobbcounty.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2016 8:04 PM
To: Klepal, Dan (CMG-Atlanta)
Subject: Re: Request

Dan - Regarding the email correspondence you requested you will need to file an ORR.

Thank you,
Sheri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 20, 2016, at 5:14 PM, Klepal, Dan (CMG-Atlanta) <Dan.Klepal@ajc.com> wrote:

Please provide the amortization schedule for the 1996 and 2006 park bonds issuances.

Thank you,

Dan Klepal
Cobb County government reporter
The Atlanta Journal-Constitution
223 Perimeter Center Parkway
Atlanta, GA., 30346
(770) 263-3876 (office)
(502) 259-8453 (cell)